

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/863,973	GARTSIDE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thuan D. Dang	1764	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Thuan D. Dang. (3)_____.

(2) Calderone. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 01 July 2004.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 4, 20, 24, and 27.

Identification of prior art discussed: Drake et al (4,889,840) and Alganbright (5,087,780).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: it is agreed that the prior art of records does not recognize the criticality of the minor amount of impurities in the catalyst and an amendment is necessary to over the 112 rejection over the claims. Therefore, applicants agree to amend claims by authorizing an examiner's amendment.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required